Improving information management and interoperability for national roads authorities

Aonghus O'Keeffe

CitA BIM Gathering 23rd November 2017







Overview

- ROD and INTERLINK
- Typical current condition of road asset
 information management
- Research objectives
- European Road Object-Type Library
- The technical solution
- The vision





ROD & INTERLINK

- Roughan & O'Donovan Consulting Engineers
 - Design, construction, asset management
 - Roads, bridges, ports, buildings
- INTERLINK research consortium
 - Engineering consultants, software developers, information management specialists





Understanding the Needs

- Two-year programme
- Understand as-is condition

 11 countries, >60 interviews
 Survey to test needs statements

Envision the to-be condition







As-Is

- Predominantly documents-based
- Silo databases and systems
- Duplicated, inconsistent or incomplete data
- Vendor lock-in with new enterprise-wide systems
- Data loss at end of term contracts
- NRA-led advances in some countries and organisations
 - BIM at design and construction (SE, NO, NL, FI)
 - Procurement of standardised data (NL, SE)





CEDR Call 2015

- Conference of European Directors of Roads
- Asset information management using BIM
- Interoperability
- Demand-driven software development
- European Road OTL







Object-Type Library

- 'gantry' and 'lane control unit' are examples of object types
- Collection of object types = object-type library
- OTL \cong data dictionary \cong ontology
- A set of human- and computer-interpretable rules for the structure of asset data and its relationship with other data
- Reuse the most suitable, develop otherwise
- CEDR procuring a basic European Road OTL
- Benefit from national developments at an international level





The Technical Solution



Linked Data / Semantic Web



- Data quality can be validated automatically
- Data gains semantic richness, leading to common understanding
- Data is easier to find (SPARQL)
- Data relationships can be inferred, e.g. coordinates and chainage







(Linking Open Data cloud diagram 2017, by Andrejs Abele, John P. McCrae, Paul Buitelaar, Anja Jentzsch and Richard Cyganiak. http://lod-cloud.net/)

Examples of LD / SW Use

- Wikipedia, i.e. DBpedia
- Dutch NRA (RWS)
 - specify requirements
 - validate at handover
- Interrelate BIM, GIS and SE domains
- Add meaning to pre-existing data stored in relational databases
- Store once, reuse with multiple views
- Modularised, scalable, dynamic standardisation





Development and Testing

- Iterative, scrum-based development and testing
- Prove viability using commercially-available software
- Sweden / Norway
 - Relating pavement condition to alignment
 - IFC, InfraGML, CoClass, SOSI
- Germany
 - Bridge design, construction and asset management
 - IFC, OKSTRA
- Netherlands
 - Pavement condition monitoring, reporting and repair
 - INSPIRE, GML, COINS, CB-NL, RWS-OTL





Targeted Outcomes

- Provide confidence to CEDR
 - Viable, valuable approach
- Enable CEDR to promote use at NRA level
 - National and NRA OTLs supplemented by European Road OTL
 - Investment in supporting and scaling
- Vision = European Road OTL and INTERLINK approach are central to published NRA organisation information requirements
 - Interoperability
 - Trust
 - Reduced transaction costs
 - Improved decision-making
 - Reduced risk of vendor lock-in





Summary

- High cost of handover
- Duplicated, inconsistent or incomplete data
- Encouraging advances

 BIM for design and construction
 Standardised approaches for asset data
- CEDR recognises value of interoperability
- Demand-driven capability development
- European Road OTL
- Linked Data / Semantic Web technologies





Questions

Engage with us at <u>www.roadotl.eu</u>





